

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

PCT

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/002002

International filing date (day/month/year)
26.02.2004

Priority date (day/month/year)
31.03.2003

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
G07F7/10, G07F19/00

Applicant
KONINKLIJKE KPN N.V.

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☒ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Guivol, O

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3462



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/002002

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
☐ a sequence listing
☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
☐ in written format
☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
☐ contained in the international application as filed.
☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/002002

Box No. II Priority

1. ☒ The following document has not been furnished:

☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(a)).

☒ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43*bis*.1 and 66.7(b)).

Consequently it has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim. This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.

2. ☐ This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43*bis*.1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43*bis*.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	3-13,15-17,20
	No: Claims	1,2,14,18,19
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-20
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-20
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1 : EP 0 786 747 A (FRANCE TELECOM) 30 July 1997 (1997-07-30)

D2 : DE 197 16 068 A (GIESECKE & DEVRIENT) 22 October 1998 (1998-10-22)

D3 : EP 0 203 543 A (SIEMENS) 3 December 1986 (1986-12-03)

D4 : WO 99/33033 A (VISA INTERNATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION)

1 July 1999 (1999-07-01)

2. INDEPENDENT CLAIMS 1, 14, 18, 19

- 2.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of claims 1 and 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

Document D1 discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document): a method for using an electromagnetic scratchcard (1) to provide services between a terminal accessible to a service customer and an infrastructure comprising a network and a service provider whereby an activation code is present in electronic or magnetic form on the electromagnetic scratchcard and the activation code is used to activate a card balance that is associated with the electromagnetic scratchcard and is accessible to the server. (see column 3, line 21 - column 4, line 11; column 6, lines 25-52).

The activation code is the "numéro d'identification de la carte" which gives access to the credit on the server and therefore activates the use of the account..

- 2.2 Notwithstanding the general terminology (activation code which could both mean "a code to unlock the card" and "a code to activate the account" such as the account number itself) used for claims 1 and 14 resulting in the novelty objection, the present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject matter of claims 1 and 14 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT in the light of D2 neither.

- 2.2.1 Document D2, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art to the subject matter of claims 1 and 14, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

a method for using an electromagnetic scratchcard (1) to provide services

between a terminal accessible to a service customer and an infrastructure comprising a network and a service provider whereby an activation code is present in electronic or magnetic form on the electromagnetic scratchcard and the activation code is used to activate a card balance that is associated with the electromagnetic scratchcard and is accessible to the server. (see column 1, line 65 - column 2, line 19; column 5, line 35 - column 7, line 8, line 5)

- 2.3 In both D1 and D2, the electronic scratchcard, the terminal and server participating in such method are implicitly or explicitly disclosed therefore the subject-matter of claims 18 and 19 is not new neither for the same reasons as above.

3 DEPENDENT CLAIMS 2-13, 15-17, 20:

- 3.1 Dependent claim 2 does not contain any feature which, in combination with the features of any claim to which it refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty (Article 33(2) PCT). Both D1 and D2 have a unique card ID present in the card.
- 3.2 Dependent claims 3-13, 15-17, 20 differ from the disclosure of D2 by the fact that the activation code may be read out conditional on the offering of an activation challenge to the card to verify if it is equal to an initial challenge present in the card. Only then is access given to the use of the card balance stored in the server.

The problem to be solved by the present invention may therefore be regarded as making access to a standard use of the card balance stored on the server dependent on an activation challenge to "unlock" (i.e. activate) the card.

- 3.2.1 In view of D3, the solution proposed in claim 3-13, 15-17 and 20 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

a challenge is sent to the card (comparison of Zustandsnummer Z1 resp. Teilnehmersnummer T1 to challenges Z2 and T2 sent to card for a comparison within the card) and if the challenges are matching, Teilnehmersnummer serves as Account number and gives access to the

card.

- 2.2.5 Therefore the features disclosed in D2 (access to a credit on server) and D3 (unlock according to a challenge compared in the card) would be combined by the skilled person, without exercise of any inventive skills in order to solve the problem posed. The proposed solution in dependent claims 3-13, 15-17 and 20 thus cannot be considered inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
3. The same idea of unlocking a card as in D2 is also disclosed in D4 to achieve the same advantage of making access to the virtual "scratchcard" account on server dependent on a challenge to be compared to an initial challenge in the card. The proposed solution can therefore neither considered inventive in the light of the combination of the features of D2 and D4.

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/002002

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER
 IPC 7 G07F7/10 G07F19/00

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC 7 G07F

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

Electronic data base consulted during the International search (name of data base and, where practical, search terms used)

EPO-Internal, WPI Data

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
X A	EP 0 786 747 A (FRANCE TELECOM) 30 July 1997 (1997-07-30) abstract; claims; figures column 4, line 1 - column 5, line 13 column 3, line 21 - line 40 column 6, line 25 - line 52 -----	1, 2, 14, 18, 19 5, 6, 20
Y A	DE 197 16 068 A (GIESECKE & DEVRIENT) 22 October 1998 (1998-10-22) abstract; claims; figures 1, 4 column 1, line 66 - column 2, line 58 column 5, line 34 - column 6, line 10 column 3, line 35 - line 66 column 5, line 34 - column 8, line 19; figure 6 ----- -/--	1-6, 12-20 7-11



Further documents are listed in the continuation of box C.



Patent family members are listed in annex.

* Special categories of cited documents:

- *A* document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance
- *E* earlier document but published on or after the international filing date
- *L* document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)
- *O* document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means
- *P* document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

T later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

X document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

Y document of particular relevance; the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art.

G document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

27 August 2004

Date of mailing of the international search report

08/09/2004

Name and mailing address of the ISA

European Patent Office, P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
 NL - 2280 HV Rijswijk
 Tel (+31-70) 340-2040, Tx. 31 651 epo nl,
 Fax (+31-70) 340-3016

Authorized officer

Guivol, O

C.(Continuation) DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category *	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	EP 0 203 543 A (SIEMENS) 3 December 1986 (1986-12-03)	1-6, 12-20
A	the whole document	7-11
A	WO 99/33033 A (VISA INTERNATIONAL SERVICE ASSOCIATION) 1 July 1999 (1999-07-01) page 3, line 1 - line 14 page 7, line 1 - page 10, line 30 page 22, line 18 - page 26, line 14	1-20
A	US 2002/139844 A1 (T. ROCHMANN ET AL.) 3 October 2002 (2002-10-03) abstract; claims; figures	1,2,5,6, 14,18-20
A	WO 01/59724 A (KONINKLIJKE KPN) 16 August 2001 (2001-08-16) cited in the application the whole document	1,2,5, 14,18-20
A	DE 198 60 203 A (DEUTSCHE TELEKOM) 29 June 2000 (2000-06-29) column 3, line 43 - column 5, line 22; claims; figures	1,14
A	EP 1 100 056 A (THREECODEX) 16 May 2001 (2001-05-16) abstract; claims; figures	1
A	EP 0 668 579 A (AT & T) 23 August 1995 (1995-08-23) abstract; claims; figures	1
A	EP 0 971 324 A (EUROPAY) 12 January 2000 (2000-01-12)	

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

International Application No

PCT/EP2004/002002

Patent document cited in search report		Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
EP 0786747	A	30-07-1997	FR 2744268 A1 DE 69709418 D1 DE 69709418 T2 EP 0786747 A1 JP 9312710 A	01-08-1997 07-02-2002 29-08-2002 30-07-1997 02-12-1997
DE 19716068	A	22-10-1998	DE 19716068 A1 AT 213856 T AU 7642198 A DE 59803197 D1 WO 9848388 A2 EP 0976113 A2	22-10-1998 15-03-2002 13-11-1998 04-04-2002 29-10-1998 02-02-2000
EP 0203543	A	03-12-1986	DE 3682435 D1 EP 0203543 A2	19-12-1991 03-12-1986
WO 9933033	A	01-07-1999	AU 758710 B2 AU 1932499 A CA 2315656 A1 EP 1040456 A2 WO 9933033 A2 US 6298336 B1	27-03-2003 12-07-1999 01-07-1999 04-10-2000 01-07-1999 02-10-2001
US 2002139844	A1	03-10-2002	NONE	
WO 0159724	A	16-08-2001	NL 1014371 C2 AU 4237101 A WO 0159724 A1 EP 1257977 A1	15-08-2001 20-08-2001 16-08-2001 20-11-2002
DE 19860203	A	29-06-2000	DE 19860203 A1 WO 0039758 A1 EP 1141904 A1 HU 0104875 A2 US 6745940 B1	29-06-2000 06-07-2000 10-10-2001 28-03-2002 08-06-2004
EP 1100056	A	16-05-2001	IT MI992363 A1 AT 261158 T DE 60008669 D1 DE 60008669 T2 EP 1100056 A2	11-05-2001 15-03-2004 08-04-2004 05-08-2004 16-05-2001
EP 0668579	A	23-08-1995	US 5461217 A BR 9500433 A CA 2138829 A1 CN 1120203 A DE 69531711 D1 DE 69531711 T2 EP 0668579 A2 JP 7319982 A JP 7319983 A US H1794 H	24-10-1995 17-10-1995 09-08-1995 10-04-1996 16-10-2003 01-07-2004 23-08-1995 08-12-1995 08-12-1995 06-04-1999
EP 0971324	A	12-01-2000	EP 0971324 A1 AU 4386099 A WO 0002170 A1	12-01-2000 24-01-2000 13-01-2000